UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,148	01/10/2006	Adam Richard Yaffe	W004 P01180-US	4854
	7590 06/09/200 SEPHS & HOLMES, I	EXAMINER		
101 DYER STREET 5TH FLOOR PROVIDENCE, RI 02903			SMALLEY, JAMES N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3781	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/534,148	YAFFE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	JAMES N. SMALLEY	3781
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be not will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 9-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 9-32 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	rawn from consideration.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the one drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is constant.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/534,148 Page 2

Art Unit: 3781

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The previous grounds of rejection have been withdrawn and new grounds are presented herein.

Accordingly, this action is **Non-Final**.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(b) because they are incomplete. 37 CFR 1.83(b) reads as follows:

When the invention consists of an improvement on an old machine the drawing must when possible exhibit, in one or more views, the improved portion itself, disconnected from the old structure, and also in another view, so much only of the old structure as will suffice to show the connection of the invention therewith.

Figure 3 shows an incomplete view of the ramp surface. It is not clear from the drawings that ramp (19) is connected to the top surface of the container. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,148 Page 3

Art Unit: 3781

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The claims limit "a dispense aperture." The Specification does not enable a second dispense aperture, beyond the aperture already introduced in claim 9. It is suggested Applicant amend the claim to state "said aperture." Examiner notes the term "dispense aperture" is not used in claim 9, just "aperture."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 9, 11, 13-15, 17, 19-21, 23-24, 26-27, 29-30 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DeMars et al. US 4,951,835.

DeMars '835 teaches a container having an opening mechanism, comprising an opening member (46), an aperture (50), and a shaped member (48) formed on the container, with a ramp surface to urge the opening member into sealing contact with the aperture.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

8. Claims 9-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilson US 4,442,950 in view of DeMars et al. US 4,951,835.

Wilson '950 teaches a container (C), a pull ring (52), an aperture (defined by 20, 28).

The reference teaches all limitations substantially as claimed, but fails to teach a shaped member, which further includes a ramped surface, to urge part of the opening member into sealing contact with the aperture.

DeMars '835 teaches a shaped member on the upper surface of the container, which further includes a ramped surface, to urge a shaped member into sealing contact with a container aperture.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the container of Wilson '950, providing the shaped member of DeMars '835, motivated by the benefit of a structure which will force the shaped member into sealing contact with the aperture.

9. Claims 9-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilson US 4,442,950 in view of Wells US 4,887,712.

Wells '712 teaches a container (43), a pull ring (40), an aperture (defined by 27, 28).

The reference teaches all limitations substantially as claimed, but fails to teach a shaped member, which further includes a ramped surface, to urge part of the opening member into sealing contact with the aperture.

DeMars '835 teaches a shaped member on the upper surface of the container, which further includes a ramped surface, to urge a shaped member into sealing contact with a container aperture.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the container of Wells '712, providing the shaped member of DeMars '835, motivated by the benefit of a structure which will force the shaped member into sealing contact with the aperture.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,148 Page 5

Art Unit: 3781

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 9-32 have been considered but are moot in view of

the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to JAMES N. SMALLEY whose telephone number is (571)272-4547. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10 am - 7 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Anthony Stashick can be reached on (571) 272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative

or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

/Anthony D Stashick/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit

3781

/James N Smalley/ Examiner, Art Unit 3781